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Foreword

This booklet presents, in brief form, some of the results of a much longer work by
the author.

Numerous readers of that longer work, *READING GENESIS ONE: Comparing
Biblical Hebrew with English Translation*, have expressed a desire for a more succinct
exposition of some of the results of that study.

The focus of this booklet is on the translation and meaning of the first 23 Hebrew
words of Genesis. Understanding the grammar and meaning of those 23 words resolves
long-standing disputes about the Bible’s statement about the age of planet Earth and
the age of the universe.

The Hebrew of some verses will be presented to allow the reader to verify the Hebrew
word order of nouns and verbs. More often, a Hebrew word will be inserted into
King James Version (KJV) verses in front of the English translation of that word.
For example:

\[
\text{KJV Genesis 1:5 And God called the light } \text{יומ } \text{ day,}
\]

\[
\text{and the darkness He called night.}
\]

In some examples the Hebrew word יומ and a phonetic pronunciation of that Hebrew
word will be inserted to replace its English translation. For example:

\[
\text{KJV Genesis 1:5 And God called the light } \text{יומ } \text{ “yom,”}
\]

\[
\text{and the darkness He called night.}
\]

The יומ is the singular Hebrew word appearing in the Hebrew of the verse. The
“yom” is a phonetic rendering of that Hebrew word. (“Yom” pronounces like “yome”
rhyming with dome or home.)

The procedure followed in each specific instance is chosen to facilitate the reader’s
understanding of the translation issue or meaning of that verse. Another Hebrew
word מ, the direct object marker, has no equivalent in English and is not translated
unless it has an attached preposition or ending. Because this booklet is brief, much
supporting detail found in the longer work cannot be presented here.

Rodney Whitefield
Age of the Earth: Billions or Thousands?

What does the Bible say? Billions or Thousands?

Historically, the above question became important in the time period from the late 1700s through the early 1900s. By the early 1900s the major Christian groups had examined the text and found consistency between the statements of the first verses of the Bible and the geological and scientific findings of an old universe and an old planet Earth.

Among evangelical Christians, biblical consistency with an old planet Earth and an old universe was exemplified by the views of the writers of The Fundamentals in the early 1900s. These scholars held both long time (day-age) and 24-hour views of the six creative times, but were united in viewing the biblical text as being consistent with an old universe and an old planet Earth. By the mid 1950s, the 6,000 to 10,000 year old Earth view was held by only a small number of believers. In retrospect, the issue was considered so well settled that the upcoming new generation of pastors had been inadequately informed of the biblical basis for the consistency between the statements of the first verses of the Bible and the scientific findings of an old planet Earth.
Why is it Important?

The Bible claims to be truth.

If the Bible does not represent truth, or if those opposed to the teachings of Christianity can show that the Bible includes errors, the claims of Christianity are impaired.

If a group interprets the Bible in a manner different than that which the Hebrew text says, the truth claim of the Bible is impaired.

Today, the truth of the Bible is under serious attack based upon the claim, by some, that the Earth is only about 6,000 to 10,000 years old.

For evangelism, the issue is clear. Non-believers who decide to explore the Bible and the claims of Christ will typically start with the first page of the Bible. If the opponents of Christianity can impugn the truthfulness of the Bible on the first page, the claims of Christ may never be seriously considered.

This book will:

1. Show why the first verses of the Bible are consistent with an old Earth and an old universe.

2. Explain and refute the argument used to interpret the first verses of the Bible to arrive at a claimed 6,000 to 10,000 year old Earth and universe.

Next: The KEY Issues
Key Issues about the Hebrew of Genesis 1:1-31
(The Key Issues will be discussed later in detail.)

Key Issue 1: The Text and Interpretation of Genesis 1:1

The Hebrew words of Genesis 1:1 are ordered in the sequence that Biblical Hebrew uses to indicate pluperfect action, i.e., expressed in English by verbs using the word “had.”

Result: The Hebrew of Genesis 1:1 is properly translated as “had created,” the English pluperfect tense. The consequence is that the creation described in Genesis 1:1 is already completed, a “done deal.” See pages 10-13.

Key Issue 2: The Text and Interpretation of Genesis 1:2

The Hebrew words of Genesis 1:2 are also ordered in the sequence that Biblical Hebrew uses to indicate pluperfect action, i.e., expressed in English by verbs using the word “had.”

Result: The Hebrew of Genesis 1:2 is properly translated as “had existed,” “was existing,” or “was” meaning “was already.” Genesis 1:2 describes the state of planet Earth sometime after the creation of Genesis 1:1 but prior to the start of the sequence of commands modifying the environment. See pages 14-15.

Key Issue 3: When do Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 Happen?

Both Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 are completed before the "And God said..." command of Genesis 1:3. The command "And God said..." starts the first creative time period. Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 are not included within the first creative time period.

Result: Genesis 1:1 first describes the creation and then Genesis 1:2 describes the subsequent later condition of planet Earth before the first command of Genesis 1:3. Genesis 1:3 is the first command in a sequence of actions which modify the physical conditions and ecology of planet Earth. See pages 16-17.
Key Issue 4: The “Young Earth” Argument about Genesis 1:1-2

Those advocating an Earth about 6,000 to 10,000 years old propose that Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 are part of the first creative time period which starts with Genesis 1:3.

Answer: This argument depends on the presence of the word “in” in Exodus 20:11. The word “in” does not appear in the Hebrew of this verse. The word “in” is a word added to the verse by the KJV translators and was italicized to indicate that it had been added. The above argument also depends on an asserted equivalency of the Hebrew words “bara” (create) and “asah” (meaning do, or make). The writer of Genesis uses these two words in Genesis 2:3 in a manner which contradicts the claim of equivalency. See pages 18-19.

Key Issue 5: What About יומ “Yom”? What Does יומ “Yom” Mean?

Those advocating an Earth about 6,000 to 10,000 years old propose that the Hebrew word יומ “yom” refers to a 24-hour day when used in Genesis 1:1-31, and therefore requires a 6,000 to 10,000 year old Earth.

Answer: This argument fails on many grounds. The word יומ “yom” most commonly refers to the daytime. The second most common use of “yom” is to refer to long periods of time. The argument also fails because the universe and planet Earth are already in existence before the start of the first creative time period. See Pages 26-29 for a more complete discussion of the word “yom.”
Key Issue 1:

The Text and Interpretation of Genesis 1:1

Genesis 1:1 consists of seven Hebrew words. The Hebrew is shown below so that the sequence of the Hebrew words can be verified. Note: Hebrew reads from right to left. An English translation is given below each of the translated Hebrew words, and is also to be read from right to left. The analysis is a simplified version of the treatment in the author’s book *Reading Genesis One* which can be consulted for a more detailed analysis. The purpose is to show the uncommon word order of the verse so that the reader may understand the significance by comparison with another well understood verse.

Genesis 1:1

The first word is *בראשׁית* (bra’ashšet), “in-beginning,” a word that is usually translated “In the beginning.” This word is constructed by adding a prefix ב (b) meaning “in” to the Hebrew word *ברא* (bara) translated “beginning.” The ending letters indicate pronunciation and indicate which words the word “in-beginning” refers to. In this case, the suffix indicates that the word in-beginning refers to the beginning of “the heavens and the Earth.”

The second word is the verb *ברא* (bara) “had created.” The verb *ברא* “bara” is in the Qal perfect (completed action) form. The Hebrew word “bara” has more than the basic meaning of “create.” “Bara” also carries the concept of making or creating something new. The completed action can be translated “had created,” or “did create.” If translated “created,” the created must be understood as “created already” to reflect the Hebrew.

The important issue here is the word order which places the Hebrew verb second in the sentence. The most common word order in a biblical Hebrew narrative places the verb first and places the subject of the verb second. Verb first word order appears in 28 of the first 31 verses of Genesis.

Placement of the verb as the second word of a sentence is one of the methods by which biblical Hebrew indicates already completed actions which translate in English as the pluperfect. The pluperfect is the English verb form which uses “had.” The verb second word order is used to give already completed background information at the beginning of a narrative, and for inserting other already completed information into a narrative as a comment or reminder of events which have already occurred.
For the purposes of this brief discussion we will consider and compare the similar construction which occurs in the first verse of Job. Here again, the verb הָיָה is the second word in the sentence. The subject יָעַז (A man) is the first word. Read from right to left.

Job 1:1

按规定, 一位男子，命名雅各，在以利沙玛拉的地上，已存在一位男子

from evil and turning God fearing and upright perfect the same

The narrative of the story of Job begins in Job 1:2, a verse which starts with a verb. The KJV translates Job 1:1 as:

KJV Job 1:1 There was a man in the land of Uz, whose name was Job;

and that man was perfect and upright, and one that feared God, and eschewed evil.

The translators of the KJV have translated the first verb as “was,” which can be understood correctly in this case as meaning “was already” because of the familiar human context. Young’s Literal Translation (1865) more specifically recognized the already completed action meaning of the verb by translating the verb as “hath been.”

Typically, the KJV translators used the simple English past tense for the completed action Hebrew verbs. They did not recognize, or were unaware of, the pluperfect significance of the biblical Hebrew “verb second” word order. The KJV does not preserve the Hebrew word order. The KJV translation alters the word order to correspond with the subject first practice of English, thereby removing the location (first or second) of the Hebrew verb from the reader's view. This practice has been continued in other later English translations of the Bible.

The important conclusion is that Genesis 1:1 describes already completed background information. Genesis 1:1 presents the creation of the universe and planet Earth as an already completed fact, albeit that the Earth is not in the final condition which we observe today.
English Tense and Hebrew Verb Translation

What are the issues? What is tense?

English speakers use tense every time they speak. It is a natural part of the English language. Since many readers will not have thought about tense since high school, we will first describe tense and then illustrate tense by examples.

Tense, in English, means that the form and placement of verbs tell the reader the “when” of the actions, the sequence of the actions, and sometimes the “duration” of the actions.

Verbs in biblical Hebrew do not tell the reader the “when” and sequence of actions by the form of the verb! Additional factors are involved in determining the English verb used for translation. Not all languages have tenses like English. Chinese is an example of a language which does not use tensed verb forms. This does not mean that Chinese speakers cannot convey the when, sequence, or duration of an action. They can, and do, but that information is not conveyed by the verb form. [Note: Verbs in modern Hebrew, as used in Israel today, do act much like English verbs. Modern Hebrew also has subject first word order.]

English past tense examples: when and sequence

In order to illustrate issues associated with tense in biblical Hebrew translation we shall first consider the two sentences shown below. The example refers to the familiar activity of baking, carried out by the person, Rose, who is the baker.

(1) Last Friday Rose baked cake; Rose had baked the bread.

In (1) the pluperfect tense “had baked” is used with the simple past tense “baked.” Both “baked” and “had baked” are completed actions. The “had” in this example informs the reader that the bread had been baked and was done (completed) before the cake (also completed). Now consider (2) which uses the simple past tense “baked.”

(2) Entering the kitchen, Rose baked cake. (in process)

The reader sees Rose entering the kitchen and engaging in the process of baking the cake.

English translation of biblical Hebrew usually translates completed action Hebrew verbs using the simple past tense. The effect that practice can have in altering the meaning of the translated text can be illustrated by replacing the had baked of line (1) by baked yielding sentence (3):

(3) Last Friday Rose baked cake; Rose baked the bread.

In sentence (3), the correct order of the actions specified in example (1) has been lost.
What does this mean for translation?

Verbs in biblical Hebrew only indicate that an action is complete (finished) or incomplete (not finished). In biblical Hebrew the verb itself does not specify the duration of verbal actions, and it does not convey the time ordering of verbal actions. Biblical Hebrew does sometimes indicate the ordering of past actions. It does this not by verb forms but by word order, and several other means. This limitation is very important when reading Genesis.

The meaning of Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2

In the discussion of Genesis 1:1, the verb was translated “had created” to correctly express the completed action meaning of the Hebrew verb, rather than the typical translation “created.”

Why? Because of the word order. Most Hebrew sentences in a continuing narrative (story) start with a verb which is typically translated using the English past tense. As in example (2) using “baked,” an English reader can interpret the completed action as an action occurring in the immediate past, just an instant ago. Such a meaning is not the meaning conveyed by the Hebrew word order in Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2.

The pluperfect significance of the “subject before the verb” was known in the 1800s, but a more comprehensive understanding of “verb second” word order use in biblical Hebrew has only been attained within the past forty years. The more comprehensive understanding includes verbs which follow several types of initial words or non-verbal clauses. Because the “when” of the creation in Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 has become a significant issue, the Hebrew verb meaning needs to be expressed with great care.

A particularly clear statement regarding tense translation is quoted below. The quotation is from A Short Account of the Hebrew Tenses by R. H. Kennett (Cambridge: At the University Press, 1901, page 1).

The ‘name’ tenses as applied to Hebrew verbs is misleading. The so-called Hebrew ‘tenses’ do not express the time but merely the state of the action. Indeed were it not for the confusion that would arise through the application of the term ‘state’ to both nouns and verbs, ‘states’ would be a far better designation than ‘tenses.’ It must always be born in mind that it is impossible to translate a Hebrew verb into English without employing a limitation (viz. of time) which is entirely absent in Hebrew. The ancient Hebrews never thought of an action as past, present, or future, but simply as perfect, i.e., complete, or imperfect, i.e., as in course of development.

Readers wishing to understand tense translation in greater detail are advised to consult the author’s book Reading Genesis One, and the references therein.
Key Issue 2:

The Text and Interpretation of Genesis 1:2

Similarly to Genesis 1:1, it will now be shown that Genesis 1:2 describes the condition of planet Earth as an already completed condition, the condition in which the Earth exists before the “And God said” of Genesis 1:3.

Genesis 1:2 consists of three clauses. We will examine the Hebrew of the first clause because the first clause contains the verb הָיוֹתָה קְרוֹבָה as the second word of the sentence indicating pluperfect background information.

The first word is קְרוֹבָה = קְרוֹב “earth” + ק “the” + קְרֹב “and” or “now.”

The second word is the verb הָיוֹתָה קְרוֹבָה. This verb means “to be,” “to exist,” “to become,” or “to happen.” The Qal perfect verb הָיוֹתָה קְרוֹבָה represents a completed action. The word order indicates that the completed action is to be translated “had existed,” “had been,” “existed,” or “was.” Translated “was,” the meaning is equivalent to “was already.” The suffix ק of הָיוֹתָה קְרוֹבָה indicates that קְרוֹב הָיוֹתָה קְרוֹבָה “and the Earth” is the subject of the verb.

The translation under the Hebrew of the last two of the first four words of Genesis 1:2 has been rendered “tohu” “and bohu.” These are phonetic equivalents of the two Hebrew words. The proper translation of these words has posed considerable difficulty for translators, and is extensively discussed in the author’s longer book Reading Genesis One. For this simplified treatment we will only consider the KJV translation and Young’s Literal Translation (YLT). These differ in their translation of line (1), and of the two, “waste and void” would be preferred.

**KJV Genesis 1:2**

1. And the earth was without form, and void;
2. and darkness was upon the face of the deep.
3. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters.

**YLT Genesis 1:2**

1. the earth hath existed waste and void,
2. and darkness is on the face of the deep,
3. and the Spirit of God fluttering on the face of the waters,

In the Hebrew, clauses (2) and (3) do not contain a finite verb, and are termed “verbless clauses.” Their location in time is determined by line (1) to which they refer. The verbs was and is, appearing in clause (2) of the above translations, are italicized to indicate that they were added by the translator. The verb “moved” in the KJV third clause represents a participle “moving,” which the YLT renders “fluttering.”
The prefix \( w \) of the first Hebrew word of Genesis 1:2 represents the “and,” which here has a disjunctive effect, indicating a change of scene. The “heavens and the Earth” have been completed. Now, in Genesis 1:2 the scene has changed from the entire universe to “the face of the deep.” The participant has changed from God to “the Spirit of God.” The action also changes from creation (bara) to “moving over face of waters.” Genesis 1:2 is a statement about planet Earth relating the background conditions necessary for understanding the events of Genesis 1:3-4.

We will now consider Genesis 3:1, the first verse in the narrative about Eve and the serpent. Genesis 3:1 is an example that illustrates the pluperfect background meaning of the first clause (1) of Genesis 1:2 and the disjunctive effect of the “And the Earth.” The KJV translation of this verse is given below, followed by the Hebrew with the corresponding KJV words.

**KJV Genesis 3:1**

Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden?

The first Hebrew word of Genesis 3:1 is the noun for “serpent” prefixed by \( w \), a prefix which translates “and” or “now.” The “Now the serpent” acts in the same disjunctive manner as “And the Earth” does in Genesis 1:2. The first clause signals that the previous narrative has ended, the scene is now changed from that of the previous narrative, and some time has passed. The first clause states already completed background information about the serpent. This information is needed for understanding the new narrative which begins with the verb translated “And he said.”

The second Hebrew word \( חָיָה \) is the same verb as the second word of Genesis 1:2, but differs in form because it is of masculine gender to refer to the serpent. In the KJV of Genesis 3:1, the already completed meaning of “was” is more apparent due to the following pluperfect “had made.” The disjunctive meaning of the Hebrew prefix \( w \) is also more apparent because of the translation “Now.” The disjunctive meaning in Genesis 1:2 is recognized in recent translations (such as the NIV) by translating “Now the Earth . . .” Other recent translations, including the NKJ, adopt the “The earth . . .” as used in Young’s Literal Translation shown on page 14.

**Important conclusions:**

**Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 describe already completed background information.**

The “And the Earth” in Genesis 1:2 indicates that some time has elapsed since the creation of “the heavens and the Earth” in Genesis 1:1.
Key Issue 3:

When do Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 Happen?

In Genesis 3:1, about Eve and the snake, the first part of the verse gives background information about the serpent and his nature. These facts were already completed and known before the snake's initial action, “And he said unto the woman.” The sequential narrative of the following events then starts with the Hebrew verb יָרַע “And he said.” This same Hebrew verb, “and he said,” is also used as the first word of Genesis 1:3, which also starts a sequential narrative.

The parallels of the verb use in Genesis 3:1 and Genesis 1:2-3 demonstrate the following: The “And the Earth was” of the KJV Genesis 1:2 must describe a condition of the Earth already completed and existing before the start of the narrative in Genesis 1:3.

The “when” of this completed condition is the same as that described by the translation “had existed,” or by the translation “was” when understood as meaning “was already.” The Hebrew perfect completed action verb does not indicate how long the Earth had been in this state, when this completed state had been achieved, or how long the Earth continues in this state before the command of Genesis 1:3.

As a consequence, Genesis 1:2 places no restriction on the age of the Earth or on the age of the universe. That Genesis 1:2 places no restriction on the age of the Earth is well-known to those of the Christian community who hold an Old Earth view of Genesis One.

The argument used to ignore the completed action meaning of Genesis 1:2 erroneously asserts that the events of Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 are not background information. This argument will be later examined in detail.

In order to provide a coherent exposition of the meaning of Genesis 1:2 as it relates to the age of the Earth, this study will now present another analysis which shows that Genesis 1:2 is completed before the start of the first creative period. Because this study has not yet investigated the meaning of יָמָה “yom” (commonly translated day), “yom” will be used in referring to the creative periods. The result of the analysis presented here does not depend on the length of time attributed to the creative “yom.”

The method of analysis is to follow the pattern of the ending and starting of the six creative periods, working backward from the completion of the sixth period. The completion of the sixth period is recorded in Genesis 2:1 as: “Thus the heavens and the earth were finished, ....”

There are two recurring patterns in Genesis 1:1-31. In the KJV these are:

1. Each of the six creative periods (creative “yom”) ends with a phrase which starts with:

   KJV “And the evening and the morning were . . . “
2. Each of the six creative periods (creative “yom”) begins with a sentence which starts with:
KJV “And God said . . .”

Analysis Showing Genesis 1:3 is the Start of the First Creative בָּיֹם “Yom”

Step 1 the end of the sixth “creative yom” is Genesis 1:31
   end 1:31 And the evening and the morning were . . . KJV
   start 1:24 And God said . . .

Step 2 the end of the fifth “creative yom” is Genesis 1:23
   end 1:23 And the evening and the morning were . . . KJV
   start 1:20 And God said . . .

Step 3 the end of the fourth “creative yom” is Genesis 1:19
   end 1:19 And the evening and the morning were . . . KJV
   start 1:14 And God said . . .

Step 4 the end of the third “creative yom” is Genesis 1:13
   end 1:13 And the evening and the morning were . . . KJV
   start 1:9 And God said . . .

Step 5 the end of the second “creative yom” is Genesis 1:8
   end 1:8 And the evening and the morning were . . . KJV
   start 1:6 And God said . . .

Step 6 the end of the first “creative yom” is Genesis 1:5
   end 1:5 And the evening and the morning were . . . KJV
   start 1:3 And God said . . . This command starts the first creative “yom.”
   By pattern, in every case, the verses before each “And God said . . .” are not included in that creative “yom.”

Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 have taken place, and are completed, before the start of the first creative “yom.” The duration of Genesis 1:1 is not stated, only that the creation has occurred and has been completed. The time interval between Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 is not stated. The grammatical existence of this unstated time interval was well-known in the 1800s and early 1900s. There is also an unstated and unknown time interval between Genesis 1:2 and Genesis 1:3.

The significance of these time intervals is that the Bible makes no statement about the age of the universe or the age of planet Earth. As a consequence, the biblical text is consistent with an old universe and an old Earth, both billions of years old.

The Bible does make a statement about a beginning to the universe, a fact which science has grudgingly now accepted, thereby attesting to the truth of the first testable truth statement of the Bible.
Key Issue 4: The “Young Earth” Argument about Genesis 1:1-2

In the previous sections it has been shown that Genesis 1:1-2 places no limit on the age of the Earth or on the age of the universe. Nevertheless, there are people who contest the issue and assert that the Bible says that the Earth and universe are about 6,000 to 10,000 years old. The argument is typically made by quoting the first part of Exodus 20:11 which is shown below.

KJV Exodus 20:11  For in six days (yoms) the LORD made (asah) heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: . . .

The advocate then notes that both heaven and Earth are mentioned and said to have been made “in six days.” The “in” is interpreted as placing all the making of heaven and Earth within the six creative times. Subsequently, it is asserted that the “asah” of Exodus 20:11 includes the “bara” creation of Genesis 1:1. This assertion is based on a claimed full equivalence of “bara” (the word used in Genesis 1:1) and “asah,” making these different Hebrew words interchangeable. In fact, both of these argumentative steps fail for reasons (1) and (2) as explained below.

(1) The assertion based on the word “in” fails. The word “in” does not appear in the Hebrew text of Exodus 20:11 (or Exodus 31:17). The word “in” is a word added by the KJV translators. The KJV writes the word “in” in italics indicating that this word does not exist in the Hebrew text. But, the “in” is not italicized in most other English translations or in some recent printings of the KJV. Typically, the reader of Exodus 20:11 is unaware that the “in” is not in the Hebrew text. The insertion of the word “in” into the translation of Exodus 20:11 significantly distorts the meaning. The absence of the “in” removes the interpretation that all making must take place within the six creative times and voids the asserted inclusion of the “bara” of Genesis 1:1.

YLT Exodus 20:11  for six days (yoms) hath Jehovah made (asah) the heavens and the earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and . . .

KJV Exodus 20:11  For in six days (yoms) the LORD made (asah) heaven and earth, . . .

Exodus 20:11

Yahweh had made “yoms” six For

the earth and the heavens in them which all and the sea

Young’s Literal Translation (YLT) omits the word “in” and translates the verb בִּשְׂדֵה “asah” as a completed action by inserting the word “hath” before Jehovah. The accuracy of the YLT is verified by the Hebrew, shown with its literal translation for the portion of the verse in question. 1

Conclusion from (1): The Hebrew of Exodus 20:11 does not support placing the “bara” creation of Genesis 1:1 into the six creative time periods.
The assertion that “bara” (the word used in Genesis 1:1) and “asah” (the word used in Exodus 20:11) are fully equivalent and interchangeable also fails.

Consider Genesis 2:3, a verse which uses both רָבָא “bara” (create) and וַיָּשָׁה “asah” (made) with respect to the Genesis creation story. The difference in meaning is clear but requires careful explanation of the KJV translation of the Hebrew infinitive יָשָׁה “to make” and the KJV margin note. In Genesis 2:3 below, the YLT translation “for making” is correct.

**YLT Gen. 2:3**
And God blesseth the seventh day, and sanctifieth it, for in it He hath ceased from all His work which God had prepared (bara) for making.

**KJV Gen. 2:3**
And God blessed the seventh day, and sanctified it: because that in it he had rested from all his work which God created (bara) and made.

†Heb. created to make. *(This is a margin note in the 1611 KJV.)*

Genesis 2:3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>יָשָׁה</th>
<th>מָצָא</th>
<th>אֱלֹהִים</th>
<th>אֵל</th>
<th>יְהוָה</th>
<th>יָמִים</th>
<th>אֵל</th>
<th>בָּרָא</th>
<th>אֱלֹהִים</th>
<th>בְּרָא</th>
<th>יְהוָה</th>
<th>יָשָׁה</th>
<th>מָצָא</th>
<th>אֱלֹהִים</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>in it</td>
<td>because</td>
<td>it</td>
<td>and sanctified</td>
<td>the seventh</td>
<td>“yom”</td>
<td>God</td>
<td>and blessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“for making” God had created which his work from all he had ceased or “to make” (bara)

Line (2) of the Hebrew uses רָבָא bara” which is followed by יָשָׁה “asah” in an infinitive form translated “for making.” The infinitive also means “to make” as indicated by the KJV margin note. The “to make” indicated by the word יָשָׁה “asah” takes place after the completed action “had created.” Not only does the text indicate that “bara” and “asah” have different meanings, but it indicates that the subsequent “makings” followed the creating by intent!

The translation of יָשָׁה (asah) as “for making” or as the “to make” of the KJV margin note is correct for the following reasons: יָשָׁה = יָשָׁה + לְ which means “for” or “to” and expresses purpose. The preposition לְ is not the prepotion וְ “waw” meaning “and” as it is translated in the KJV. The margin note indicates the KJV translators were aware that the Hebrew differed and was important to note. Only in Genesis 2:3 does the KJV translate יָשָׁה יָשָׁה “and made.” Elsewhere the KJV translates the יָשָׁה differently, examples being “to do” (91 times) and “to make” (21 times).

**Conclusion from (2):** The writer of Genesis considered the words “bara” and “asah” to be different as indicated by that writer’s use of the two words. These words are not interchangeable in the creation account.
The First 23 Hebrew Words: How Long a Time?

It has been shown that the first two verses of Genesis are completed before the “And God said . . .” of Genesis 1:3. The time information given in Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 leads to a different creation model than that advocated by those holding to a “Young Earth” view. The table below lays out the differences for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hebrew Time Sequence of Genesis 1:1-3</th>
<th>“Young Earth” Time Sequence of Genesis 1:1-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Creation of the heavens and the Earth as in Genesis 1:1 *Not instantaneous*  
Time interval  
Condition of planet Earth, Genesis 1:2  
Time interval  
- - - Start of first creative time - - -  
“And God said . . .” | - - - Start of first creative time - -  
*Instantaneous creation of the universe and planet Earth as in Genesis 1:2*  
“And God said . . .” |

The “Young Earth” interpretation is that advocated by Henry Morris. Morris considers the events of Genesis 1:1 to instantaneously take place as the first act of the events of the first creative time. His interpretation intended to explain the fossils as a result of the flood of Noah. The time intervals between Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2, and between Genesis 1:2 and Genesis 1:3 represent a difficulty for that model.

Those who wrote in “The Fundamentals” in the early 1900s accepted an old universe and an old planet Earth. They accepted the old universe and the time intervals as being consistent with the text of Genesis 1:1-3. Those holding to a “day-age” meaning of the concluding phrases (a commonly held view) placed the fossils within the long time spans of the creative time periods. Those holding to a 24-hour interpretation of the concluding phrases placed the fossils in geologic ages within the time intervals between the verses.

The 1909 and the 1917 Scofield Reference Bibles contained a footnote for Genesis 1:1 which read in part, “The first creative act refers to the dateless past, and gives scope for all the geologic ages.” In this case the “geologic ages” were placed between Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2. The interval between Genesis 1:2 and Genesis 1:3 had been noted and commented on by G. V. Wigram, in about 1877. Wigram had also noted and commented on the unusual position of the Hebrew verb in the first two verses. Wigram favored placing the “geologic ages” between Genesis 1:2 and Genesis 1:3.
Not Instantaneous: For Genesis 1:1 to be contained within an assumed 24-hour first creative time period, the word translated “In the beginning” must be taken as representing an extremely short period of time. The planet Earth and the universe are assumed to appear in less than a 12-hour “daytime.” This assumption of an instantaneous appearance of planet Earth and the universe is not supported by the actual uses of the Hebrew word “beginning” in the Bible.

What is the “beginning”? The word בְּרֵאשִׁית “beginning” in the Hebrew does not refer to something which happens in an instant, like a bolt of lightning. “Beginning” refers to events which take place over a longer time period of unstated length. It is in this sense that the English word “beginning” is to be understood. In English, the word “prologue” would be an apt translation of the word “beginning” as used in Genesis 1:1. Consider the word בְּרֵאשִׁית translated “in the beginning” used in Jeremiah 28:1.

KJV Jeremiah 28:1
And it came to pass the same year, בְּרֵאשִׁית in the beginning of the reign of Zedekiah king of Judah, in the fourth year, and in the fifth month, that Hananiah the son of Azur the prophet, which was of Gibeon, spake unto me in the house of the LORD . . .

The events take place four years after Zedekiah starts to rule. The NIV translation recognizes this and translates בְּרֵאשִׁית as “. . .early in the reign of . . .”

The word בְּרֵאשִׁית “beginning” is also used in Genesis 10:10 and refers to the kingdom of Nimrod. The KJV translation of this verse reads:

KJV Genesis 10:10 And the בְּרֵאשִׁית “beginning” of his kingdom was Babel, and Erech, and Accad, and Calneh, in the land of Shinar.

Clearly the “beginning” refers to a considerable amount of time. Time enough to build, or come to rule, four cities. The four cities are said to be the “beginning” of the kingdom of Nimrod. That kingdom amounted to many more cities than the mentioned four. The Bible does not state the length of the life of Nimrod. The lives of those who could be contemporary with Nimrod range from 250 to 450 years. This implies that the “beginning of his kingdom” could easily refer to a time span of 50 to 100 years, or more.

Conclusions:
“In the beginning” represents a long period of time and Genesis 1:1 represents a long period of time. Genesis 1:1 through Genesis 1:2 represents a long period of time. All precede the “And God said” of Genesis 1:3.
The Six “Yom”: Times or Days? Why the Fuss?

Times (ages), or days, for all views, the first 23 Hebrew words of the Bible are consistent with an old universe and an old planet Earth. This was known in the early 1900s. Now science has grudgingly verified the first testable truth statement of the Bible. The scientific evidence for a beginning is powerful. That beginning, and the evidences for fine tuning and design, has placed the existence of God back on the table in an agnostic world.

Times (ages), or days, either view does not conflict with the existence of an old universe or an old planet Earth before the start of the first creative time period.

The writers of *The Fundamentals* held both views as to the meaning of “yom” with respect to the six creative times. Why do “Young Earth” advocates insist that planet Earth is only about 10,000 years old?

**Why the fuss? The issue was fossils!**

It was thought that if Darwinism was to be opposed, the fossils needed to be explained. The fossils and Darwinian evolution invoked vast ages of time as a basic postulate. The implicit assumption was that, given enough time, Darwinian evolution could explain the origin of life. It was, therefore, believed that Darwinian evolution could be effectively opposed by claiming that planet Earth was created no more than about 6,000 to 10,000 years ago.

**As scientific evidence of the complexity of life has been discovered, billions of years are insufficient for Darwinian explanations of the origin of life.**

The foregoing pages of this booklet have documented biblical objections to the instantaneous creation of planet Earth about 6,000 to 10,000 years ago. Science now views the appearance of the universe as instantaneous, but not 10,000 years ago, and not with the appearance of age, and not in its present state.

The assertion that Darwinian evolution cannot be true because planet Earth is only 10,000 years old (something the Bible does not say) does not convince the unbelieving agnostic. Such a claim does question the truth claims of the Bible. One cannot defend the truth of the Bible by asserting that which the Bible does not say.
What does this Mean for Models of the Creative Times?

If 24-hour days are assumed, does the “Young Earth” automatically result?

NO! The “Young Earth” requires more than 24-hour days. It requires immediate fulfillment of the creative commands and six immediately consecutive “days.” The Hebrew text contains indications not consistent with those assumptions. 24-hour creative days of command can lead to a long time interval between Genesis 1:3 and Genesis 1:31.

If 24-hour days do not automatically yield a “Young Earth,” why the claim?

The rise of the “Young Earth” view to its present prominence began with the 1961 publication of the “Genesis Flood,” one of whose authors (Henry Morris) was a Professor of Hydrology.

The issue was to deny Darwinism by explaining the fossils as a result of the flood of Noah. The creation of the animals and Adam are viewed as very recent. Any interpretation which allowed for an old earth, old fossils, or a local non-universal flood would not work. Since the instantaneous creation of plants required soil, this also required instantaneous creation of soil with the appearance of age. Functionally, the doctrine of creation with the appearance of age allowed denying any physical evidence indicating an old age for the universe or for planet Earth. Morris did allow that the genealogies could not be used to determine the time of the flood or of Adam.

That the genealogies could not be so used to determine the time of the flood or the creation of Adam had been known since 1890. This had been established by the publication of “Primeval Chronology,” in 1890, by Dr. William Henry Green, Professor of Old Testament at the Princeton Theological Seminary. Benjamin B. Warfield, also a professor at the Princeton Theological Seminary, wrote the article, “The Deity of Christ” in The Fundamentals. He held an Old Earth view and opposed Darwinism. Warfield stressed the biblical doctrine of the unity of the human race, a fact with which modern DNA analysis concurs. In the 1911 Princeton Theological Review he wrote, concerning “Young Earth” interpretation of Genesis: “The Bible does not assign a brief span to human history: this is done only by a particular mode of interpreting the biblical data, which is found on examination to rest on no solid basis.”

On the following pages we will examine Hebrew text which calls into question the “Young Earth” assumptions of immediate completion of the creative commands and the assumption that the creative times are immediately consecutive. Many readers will not be aware that 24-hour creative days do not require a “Young Earth” model.
When are the Commands Fulfilled? What does the Text Say?

The Hebrew consonantal phrase יֹהֵם נֶעְלָיִם appears nine times in the Bible, six of which are in Genesis One. In Genesis this phrase is used to describe the completion of commands. In Genesis One, the KJV translates יַהֲפֶץ נֶעְלָיִם as “and it was so.” The verb יַהֲפֶץ is translated by the KJV “and it came to pass” 320 times. The following word נֶעְלָיִם is the word usually translated “so.” This phrase does not mean that the “so” was achieved immediately. The meaning of the phrase יַהֲפֶץ נֶעְלָיִם can be determined from the three verses, not in Genesis One, which also use the phrase. 2 Kings 15:12 will be considered here as an example.

KJV 2 Kings 15:12
This was the word of the LORD which he spake unto Jehu, saying, Thy sons shall sit on the throne of Israel unto the fourth generation. יַהֲפֶץ נֶעְלָיִם And so it came to pass.

The events that the words יַהֲפֶץ נֶעְלָיִם describe in 2 Kings 15:12 do not take place immediately. They take place over an extended period of time, four generations. The Hebrew verb does indicate a completed action, but “when” the action is completed is not indicated by the יַהֲפֶץ נֶעְלָיִם phrase. The other verses are discussed in the book Reading Genesis One.

Consequences for the translational issues and time models

Because יַהֲפֶץ נֶעְלָיִם does not indicate immediate command completion, it supports and fits well with the view that the creative times are long periods of time. These long periods of time allow for the later completion of the commands.

For an assumed 24-hour day, because the completion of the commands does not take place immediately within the “daytime,” a time interval is indicated. This leads naturally to a model where the 24-hour creative “days” are separated by long periods of time. The completion of the commands takes place within a long time interval between the creative “days” of command, between the “morning” and the following “and God said.”

This author does not advocate any 24-hour model. This author considers the creative times to be long periods of time. The foregoing discussion was intended to present information illustrating additional textual problems of the 24-hour model commonly being advocated.
Are there Time Intervals between the Creative “Yom”?  

In Hebrew narrative, the time interval between the actions in successive sentences is often not specified. This is an important factor to consider when studying Genesis 1:1-31. Often unnoticed, the time interval between the “. . . and there was evening and there was morning, one time” of Genesis 1:5 and the following “And God said . . .” of Genesis 1:6 is not stated and is not determinable from the Hebrew text. This time interval cannot be assumed to be zero just because it is not stated.

The existence of such intervals is verified by the example of Genesis 28:10-11 which demonstrates that such intervals cannot be assumed to not exist on the basis of not being stated. Genesis 28:10-11 is about Jacob departing Beersheba to seek a wife from among his relations in Haran.

*KJV Genesis 28:10*  
And Jacob went out from Beersheba, and went toward Haran.

A time interval of unstated length

*KJV Genesis 28:11*  
And he lighted upon a certain place, and tarried there all night, because the sun was set; and he took of the stones of that place, and put them for his pillows, and lay down in that place to sleep.

It is only later in Genesis 28:19, where the place is identified as Bethel, that the time interval can be estimated. Beersheba to Bethel is a distance of about 60 miles as the crow flies. This distance, given the terrain and travel conditions of the time, would represent a journey of about four days. This estimate is based on the similar journey made by Abraham for the offering of Isaac (Genesis 22:1-19).

For those who wish to interpret the creative “yom” as 24 hours, the time intervals between the six creative time periods are factors which cannot be ignored.

*It is important to note that the existence of time intervals between the six creative time periods does not impair the long time (day-age) view or all possible 24-hour day models. It does conflict with the typical “Young Earth” 24-hour day model, where these time intervals have been assumed away without textual evidence.*
Key Issue 5:

What about יומ “Yom”? What does יומ “Yom” Mean?

1. The most frequent meaning of יומ “yom” is daytime. Upon reflection, this conclusion is to be expected and is not surprising. The Bible most often uses יומ “yom” when referring to events which take place in the daytime. Consider the example below using יומ “yom”.

KJV Genesis 18:1 And the LORD appeared unto him (i.e., Abraham) in the plains of Mamre: and he sat in the tent door in the heat of the day;

(ירח הל פור “the yom” is translated “the day.”)

Exodus 34:28, shown below, presents another use. The word יומ “yom” is in the singular, meaning “daytime,” but in the KJV יומ “yom” becomes “days.” The Hebrew word for night is similarly singular, not the translated “nights.” Exodus 34:28 shows that the singular word יומ “yom” did not include the night. “Yom” replaces the KJV “days” in the verse below.

KJV Exodus 34:28 So he was there with the LORD forty יומ “yom” and forty nights . . . .

Another reference to “forty days and forty nights” occurs in Deuteronomy 9:25 using יומ “yom” “the yom.” There יומ “the yom” replaces the KJV “days” in the verse as shown below.

KJV Deu. 9:25 Thus I fell down before the LORD forty יומ “yom” and forty nights as I fell down at the first; because the LORD had said he would destroy you.

In Deuteronomy 9:25 both day and night are mentioned. Here יומ “yom” refers to the time of illumination from the sun, about 12 hours. It does not mean 24 hours. If “the yom” included the night it would not be necessary to mention the night explicitly.

Numbers 3:1 refers to the events of Exodus 34:28. Here יומ “yom” “in the day” includes the entire 40 daytimes and 40 nighttimes, and means a long period of time.

KJV Numbers 3:1 These also are the generations of Aaron and Moses in the day that the LORD spake with Moses in Mount Sinai.

The meaning of יומ “in yom” does not depend on the prefix ב “in.” For example, consider Abraham’s journey to sacrifice Isaac, where יומ refers to the “daytime.”

KJV Gen. 22:4 Then יומ on the third day Abraham lifted up his eyes, and saw the place afar off.
2. **The second most frequent use of יומִי “yom” is to refer to long periods of time.**

Consider Isaiah 23:15 which equated יומִיָּוּ “in yom that” with seventy years:

**KJV Isaiah 23:15**

And it shall come to pass that Tyre shall be forgotten seventy years, according to the days of one king: after the end of seventy years shall Tyre sing as an harlot.

Another example is the well known messianic passage, Isaiah 11:10 shown below:

**KJV Isaiah 11:10**

And in that day there shall be a root of Jesse, which shall stand for an ensign of the people; to it shall the Gentiles seek: and his rest shall be glorious.

The translation “time” is consistent with יומִי “yom” as used to express long time periods in:

- יומִיָּוְלָו “day of the Lord,”
- יומִיָּוְיִמְלָהוֹת “in that day” (used more than 100 times referring to long periods of time).
- יומִיָּוְזַדְקָה “until this time” (KJV “unto this day,” used more than 82 times).

3. **The use of יומִי “yom” to refer to 24 hours is infrequent.** Such use is usually in reference to the sabbath or festivals and ceremonies which include a remembrance of the Exodus. As a remembrance observance, the daytime of the sabbath represents the daytime departure of the Exodus. The night preceding the sabbath daytime corresponds to the night of the Passover. This use is illustrated in the definition of the Day of Atonement, “Yom Kippur,” commanded in Leviticus 23:27 and defined as a sabbath in Leviticus 23:32. The meaning of יומִי “yom” is best understood by not reading the italicized words added by the KJV which do not appear in the Hebrew.

**KJV Lev. 23:27**

Also on the tenth day of this seventh month there shall be יומִי a day of atonement:

**KJV Lev. 23:32**

It shall be unto you a sabbath of rest, and ye shall afflict your souls: in the ninth day of the month at even, from even unto even, shall ye celebrate your sabbath.

This author’s longer study, *Reading Genesis One*, contains an extensive analysis of the Hebrew word יומִי “yom.” That study determined that יומִי “yom,” as used in Genesis 1:5, is correctly translatable by the English word “time” and that the 24-hour day meaning is unlikely. Readers who wish to understand more about the Hebrew word יומִי “yom” should consult that book. The table of contents is available at [www.creationingenesis.com](http://www.creationingenesis.com)
Key Issue 5 continued:

**Six Times or Six Days? What are the Issues?**

The six creative times of Genesis One all end in a patterned phrase. The Hebrew for that phrase, as used in Genesis 1:5, is shown below using the typical English translation for the verbs. The verb יֵיַּהוּ is a completed action in the Hebrew which indicates that the “evening” had occurred or “had been,” and subsequently the morning had occurred. The time between these evenings and mornings is not said and is unknown.

\[
\text{Genesis 1:5 line (2)}
\]

The הָיָה “yom” is translated “time” in agreement with the known frequent use as meaning a long period of time. Time is preferred and avoids the confusing use of the English word “day” with two different meanings. Four verses use הָיָה “yom” in its most frequent meaning of “daytime.” These uses will now be discussed.

**Four uses of the word הָיָה “yom” to mean “daytime”**

Excluding the six concluding phrases, Genesis 1:1-31 uses the singular הָיָה “yom” (or a singular form of “yom”) four times and a prefixed form of the plural הָיָה “yoms” one time.

**KJV Genesis 1:5**

And God called the light הָיָה “yom,” and the darkness He called night.

**KJV Genesis 1:14**

Then God said, “Let there be lights in the expanse of the heavens to separate הָיָה “the yom” from the night, and let them be for signs, and for seasons, הָיָה “yoms,” and years:

**KJV Genesis 1:16**

And God had made the two great lights, the greater light to govern הָיָה “the yom” and the lesser light to govern the night; . . .”

**KJV Genesis 1:18**

And to rule הָיָה over the “yom” and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness: (Here the KJV translates הָיָה “in yom” as “over the day.”)

The three singular forms used in these verses all refer to the time of illumination by the sun, the daytime. **Because the meaning is the same in all verses, these verses show that prefixing by ב “in” or by ה “the” does not determine the meaning of ה “yom.”** The Hebrew word ה “yom” appears alone and in prefixed forms. It appears as ה 241 times in the Bible. ה “yom” is used with prefixes more than another 1,000 times.
The next use of יומ בֵּית יומ “yom” is in Genesis 2:4.

Genesis 2:4  These are the generations of the heavens and of the earth when they were created, בֵּית בֵּית in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the heavens, בֵּית בֵּית

Here בֵּית “in yom” refers to all the preceding six “yom,” a long period of time, not 24 hours, בֵּית בֵּית and does so refer, no matter what English word is used in the translation.

The numbering of the creative “yom”

The numbering pattern of “yom one,” and subsequently יומ בֵּית יומ, etc., is used only in Genesis One. In other numberings of the word יומ “yom,” biblical Hebrew almost always does two things which are not done in the numbering of the creative "yom." It prefixes each number by ה (the prefix meaning “the”) and attaches a prefix to the word יומ "yom." In Genesis One, the first five creative “yom” are numbered without prefixing ה to the numbers. For example, Genesis 1:8 uses יומ and not יומ ה “the second” as used in other numberings. The KJV translation added “the” to all the numbers of the first five “yom” but did not italicize “the,” making the absence of ה not apparent to the reader. Similarly, the absence of a prefix, as used with יומ "yom" when numbered elsewhere in the Bible, is not apparent to a reader of English translation. Consequently, some will now claim that the phrases having יומ “yom” followed by a number must be 24-hour days, not knowing that the words translated “the second day” of Genesis 1:8 should properly be translated “a second day,” and similarly for the first five “yom.”

As a result, arguments for 24-hour days based on claims referring to the numbering in other verses of the Bible are faulty. The pattern used to conclude the first five creative times is:

a. Evening occurs (a completed action), i.e., and there was evening.
b. Morning occurs (a completed action), i.e., and there was morning.
c. יומ “yom” followed by a non-prefixed number ends the concluding phrase.

The use of יומ “yom” with a following non-prefixed number for numbering a sequence is unique to Genesis 1:1-31. Contrary to some claims, there are no other applicable examples.

What does this mean for models of the creative times?

The evidence presented has shown that it is unlikely that the creative “yom” are 24-hour days.

If 24-hour days are assumed, does the “Young Earth” model automatically result?

NO! The advocates of the “Young Earth” model have based their model on more than 24-hour days. They claim immediate fulfillment of the creative commands and six immediately consecutive יומ “days.” The next pages will examine these and other issues.
After the Creation: What Models of the Six Creative Time Periods are Consistent with the Hebrew?

The “Long Creative Times” model of the six creative time periods is, in this author’s opinion, the preferred reading of the Hebrew text. However, there will be readers of this brief booklet who will still wish to consider possible 24-hour day type alternatives. Because of this, a comparison of possible models is presented in the following table and discussion.

Are any models which assume a 24-hour day possibly consistent with the Hebrew?

Yes. But such models differ from the 24-hour day model commonly advocated today. The table below illustrates features required of such models if they are to be consistent with the Hebrew text. Textual evidence for the time intervals follows on the next page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE LONG CREATIVE TIMES MODEL (The model preferred by this author)</th>
<th>THE 24-HOUR “CREATIVE DAY” MODEL AND TIME INTERVALS POSSIBLY CONSISTENT WITH THE HEBREW TEXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The creation of the heavens and the Earth in Genesis 1:1, the time intervals, and Genesis 1:2. A long period of time, billions of years.</td>
<td>The creation of the heavens and the Earth in Genesis 1:1, the time intervals, and Genesis 1:2. A long period of time, billions of years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Time One (a Long Time)</td>
<td>Creative “day” One (24-hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command completion within the long creative time</td>
<td>Command completion between Time Interval “days” of command</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative Time Two</td>
<td>Creative “day” Two (24-hours)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above 24-hour day model differs from the usual “Young Earth” model. In the usual “Young Earth” model, the time intervals have been assumed away. With their inclusion, one naturally obtains a 24-hour day model of “days of command” separated by intervals of time providing for command completion.
Is there Additional Evidence for long Time Periods?

Yes. The events described in Genesis 1:24-31 and Genesis 2:5-25 describe the activities of God, Adam, and Eve during the sixth creative “time.” With “yom” translated “time” the events can be understood as occurring in an amount of time reflecting the usual meanings of the words. It is not necessary to “speed up” the performance rate of the events described in Genesis 1:24-31 and Genesis 2:5-25.

In brief, the events during the sixth creative “time” include:
1. God commands and the ground brings forth cattle.
2. God creates (“bara”) Adam.
3. God plants a garden.
4. Trees grow to sufficient maturity to bear fruit.
   (This requires at least a growing season, but often many seasons may pass before fruit is borne.)
5. Adam is given permission to eat from most of the trees.
6. Adam names the animals which are brought to him.
7. Adam sleeps and God removes tissue (KJV “rib”) from Adam’s side.
8. Eve is formed (cloned?) from the tissue (KJV “rib”) and brought to Adam.

All the above suggest the passage of a considerable period of time. These are not the events of one “daytime”! The time of the growing season for the production of fruit is at least several months. This sense of time is contained in the ordinary meaning of the words and applies to all the actions, conveying a sense of the passage of considerable time. Genesis 2:15 indicates that Adam had performed gardening duties of some sort for a considerable period of time.

KJV Genesis 2:15 And the LORD God took the man, and put him into the garden of Eden to dress it and to keep it.

Those advocating a 24-hour creative time period often assert that the time between Genesis 1:3 and Genesis 1:31 is 144 hours (6 days x 24 hours). Because of this, they are forced into the absurd position of requiring all of the above events to take place at “warp speed.” Everything listed above for creative “yom” six would take place in about 12 hours! This would also be required for the events of the other creative “yom.” There is no indication in the biblical Hebrew of these sections that the events were accomplished at an unusual rate. The translation “time” in the concluding phrases allows these sections to be understood more normally, consistent with the manner in which they were written.

Recall: The age of planet Earth and the age of the universe are not determined by the time length attributed to the six creative times.
Summary: This brief study of Genesis One has shown that:

Genesis 1:1 describes already completed background information. Genesis 1:1 presents the creation of the universe and planet Earth as an already completed fact, albeit that the Earth is not in the final condition which we observe today.

“In the beginning” represents a long period of time and Genesis 1:1 represents a long period of time. Genesis 1:1 through Genesis 1:2 represents a long period of time.

Genesis 1:2 also describes already completed background information. The “And the Earth” in Genesis 1:2 indicates that some time has elapsed since the creation of “the heavens and the Earth” in Genesis 1:1.

The command “And God said . . .” of Genesis 1:3 starts the first creative “yom.” Genesis 1:1 and Genesis 1:2 are completed before the start of the first creative time period. There is also a time interval of unstated and unknown length between Genesis 1:2 and Genesis 1:3.

The significance of the time intervals is that the Bible makes no statement about the age of the universe or the age of planet Earth. As a consequence, the biblical text is consistent with an old universe and an old Earth, both billions of years old. This result is found for any view of the time length of the creative “yom.”

The Bible does make a statement about a beginning to the universe, a fact which science has grudgingly now accepted, thereby attesting to the truth of the first testable truth statement of the Bible.

Things Genesis One Does and Does Not Say (a partial list):

Genesis One does say that there was a beginning to the universe and planet Earth.
Genesis One does say that God created the heavens and the Earth.
Genesis One does say that planet Earth was not always as it is now; changes have occurred.
Genesis One does say that God acted to bring the present condition of the Earth into being.

Genesis One does not specify an age for the Earth or an age for the universe.
Genesis One does not say that the creative times (yom) are 24 hours in duration.
Genesis One does not say that the creative times (yom) followed immediately one after another.
Genesis One does not say that the commands were fulfilled immediately, like a bolt of lightning.
These conclusions are not new. They were well known in the early 1900s. At the present time there is among evangelical Christians a degree of confusion about Genesis One. The confusion has arisen from the advocacy of a “Young Earth” reading of Genesis One. This advocacy has come to prominence mainly within the past 40 years. It is the opinion of this author that this rise to prominence has been aided by the Darwinists themselves. The opposition to Darwinism by the “Young Earth” advocates serves the Darwinists because it avoids the need to mount a scientific defense of Darwinism. Instead, the Darwinist can attack the claims and assertions of the “Young Earth” advocates, assertions which are not in accord with the Hebrew of Genesis One. The Bible cannot be effectively defended nor can the Gospel be effectively proclaimed by asserting things which the Bible does not say.

This confusion has now reached the point where some people think that those who wrote in *The Fundamentals* were Young Earth believers. Not so! They did not hold “Young Earth” views; they accepted an Old Earth view. With respect to Darwinism, they did not view the Old Earth as the issue; they recognized that the essence of Darwinism was a denial of design and thereby a denial of a creator God.

Four of the writers of *The Fundamentals*, C. I. Scofield, Benjamin B. Warfield, James Orr, and R. A. Torrey (a noted evangelist) were well known for their acceptance of an Old Earth. These writers and others opposed Darwinism on the basis of theology and presented arguments citing evidence of design. Today it is the implicit evidence of design in the complex structure of life that is the basis of a very effective opposition to Darwinism. These arguments speak to the scientific evidence. Argument from design has confronted advocates of Darwinism with scientific evidence which does not support the claims of Darwinism.

Books like *Darwin's Black Box* and *Darwin on Trial* have altered the terms of the confrontation with Darwinism.

The message of Genesis One is primarily theological. It informs the descendents of Adam (mankind) about their origin and about their relationship to their Creator. The message is that God is the Creator of Adam (mankind) and that mankind has a responsibility toward God and is accountable to God. The modern attacks on Genesis One have, as their basis, a wish to discredit this theological message and to assert freedom from accountability.
Theological Content

Some of the theological content of Genesis One escapes our attention today because the sun and moon are not presently viewed as gods. At the time of Moses, Genesis One expressed powerful theological contradictions to the religions of Egypt, Canaan, and Babylon. Some of these contradictions are:

- One creator God, not many gods.
- The sun and moon are created objects, not gods.
- The animals are created and are not gods.

The Egyptians worshiped many creatures. Because the theological message that the sun and moon are not gods is not significant in our time, it is possible to mistake Genesis One as being a description of the physical and biological history of planet Earth. Genesis One does make statements about the physical and biological development, but does so as a subsidiary to the theological message against the pagan gods worshiped at the time of Moses. The statements are true, but the statements are an incomplete description of the events that transpired.

At the present time, the opposition to the theological message of Genesis One is opposition to a Creator God who acts in history and in time. The tactic is to interpret the “when” and the “creative acts” in a way that introduces conflict between the interpretation and the observable geological record. The Darwinist typically assumes a God that does not act in history or geologic time, or assumes there is no God at all. The Bible reveals a God who does act in history and in geologic time.
END NOTES:

1. In other verses the KJV does not insert “in” into the translation of יִשְׁתַּהשׁ “for six.”
   This is shown in the example of 1 Kings 11:16. Only the first six Hebrew words are shown below.

   **KJV 1 Kings 11:16** (For six months did Joab remain there with all Israel, until he had cut off every male in Edom:)
   
   The preposition ב “in” does appear in the Hebrew of other verses. This shows that the word “in” is not to be inserted into a translation unless the preposition ב actually appears in the Hebrew.
   The following example of Genesis 10:25 illustrates this point. In this case the final ב of the word יָם “is the plural “yomn,” and ב is the prefix “in.”

   **KJV Genesis 10:25** (1) And unto Eber were born two sons: the name of one was Peleg;
   (2) for in his days was the earth divided; and his brother’s name was Joktan.
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